

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 07-5276

September Term 2008

07cv01021

Filed On: November 21, 2008

Federal Trade Commission,

Appellant

v.

Whole Foods Market, Inc., et al.,

Appellees

BEFORE: Sentelle, Chief Judge, and Ginsburg**, Henderson,
Rogers, Tatel, Garland, Brown, Griffith, and Kavanaugh*,
Circuit Judges

ORDER

The petition of appellee Whole Foods Market, Inc. ("Whole Foods") for rehearing en banc was circulated to the full court, and a vote was requested. Thereafter, a majority of the judges eligible to participate did not vote in favor of the petition. Upon consideration of the foregoing and the motion of Whole Foods for leave to file a reply, the opposition thereto, and the lodged reply, it is

ORDERED that the motion for leave to file a reply be granted. The Clerk is directed to file the lodged reply. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that the petition be denied.

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT:
Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/
Michael C. McGrail
Deputy Clerk

* Circuit Judge Kavanaugh would grant the petition.

** A statement by Circuit Judge Ginsburg, with whom Chief Judge Sentelle joins, concurring in the denial of rehearing en banc is attached.

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 07-5276

September Term 2008

Ginsburg, Circuit Judge, with whom Chief Judge Sentelle joins, concurring in the denial of rehearing en banc: I concur in the denial of rehearing en banc because, there being no opinion for the Court, that judgment sets no precedent beyond the precise facts of this case. See *King v. Palmer*, 950 F.2d 771, 783 (D.C. Cir. 1991) (en banc) ("without implicit agreement" among a majority of the judges "we are left without a controlling opinion").